

A Conceptual Framework for Modeling Awareness Mechanisms in Collaborative Systems

Fernando Gallego, Ana Isabel Molina, Jesús Gallardo, Crescencio Bravo
Computer Science Department. University of Castilla - La Mancha
Paseo de la Universidad, 4, Ciudad Real, Spain
{Fernando.Gallego, AnaIsabel.Molina, Jesus.Gallardo, Crescencio.Bravo}@uclm.es

Abstract. Awareness is defined as the perception of the activities being carried out by members of a team in a given context. The use of awareness support techniques reduces the effort needed to realize communicative and collaborative tasks. Therefore, this leads to an increase in group work productivity. In this article we propose a conceptual framework which improves upon the process of building interactive collaborative systems as proposed by CIAM (a methodological framework for designing interactive applications for group work) by taking the dimension of awareness into consideration.

Keywords: Awareness, Meta-modeling, Groupware.

1 Introduction

The use of technologies for awareness [1] support in collaborative systems allows users to stay informed about the activities that are being carried out by other users, as well as in which parts of the shared working area they are working and how those activities are being carried out. Therefore, the incorporation of elements for awareness support makes it possible to reduce the meta-communicative efforts which are needed to carry out collaborative activities oriented towards promoting real collaboration between group work members. Other proposals [2, 3, 4] in the field of awareness modeling in collaborative systems usually focus on the domain of awareness design in interactive systems, but do not take into account any specific technological implementation.

Molina et al. [5] have reviewed the different existing proposals in the field of model-driven specification of collaborative interactive systems. We can conclude that none of them provides a proper specification for users' needs regarding awareness much less any systematic or computational support for modeling this characteristic. One of these proposals is the CIAM methodological framework [6]. Its related notation, called CIAN [7], provides support for modeling collaboration, interaction and shared context. Shared context is defined as a set of objects where both the objects and the actions performed on them are visible to a set of users [8]. In group work tasks with a shared context (collaborative tasks), awareness mechanisms are very important because they provide a noticeable improvement to group work productivity. Therefore, the main objective of our work is: *to incorporate the modeling of these awareness aspects into the modeling of collaborative tasks in the context of CIAM.*

In the next section we briefly show our framework for modeling awareness mechanisms, and in Section 3 we present the conclusions and future lines of work derived from this work.

2 A Conceptual Framework for Designing Awareness Support in Collaborative Tasks

To specify which group awareness concepts should be included in the CIAN notation we started by defining a meta-model (Figure 1) which includes the concepts and groups them into different views:

■ Awareness view (a) ■ Business view (d) □ Interaction view (g)
■ Collaboration support view (b) ■ Data view (e)
□ Technological view (c) □ Organizational view (f)

Figure 1. The Proposed meta-model

The *Organizational view* (Figure 1.f) includes concepts related to the group work members; the *Data view* (Figure 1.e) includes concepts related to the domain and to

the data manipulated within the shared context; the *Technological view* (Figure 1.c) considers the implementation of awareness mechanisms and interactive activity issues, such as the components and workspaces which may go into making up the application's GUI; the *Collaboration support view* (Figure 1.b) includes the concepts related to communication and coordination support; the *Interaction view* (Figure 1.g) includes the concepts related to the modeling of interactive issues in the application; the *Business view* (Figure 1.d) includes the entities involved in the design of tasks, regardless of the technology used; and, finally, the *Awareness view* (Figure 1.a) includes those concepts which are directly related to awareness support.

Awareness support at the implementation level results in the inclusion of graphical elements (widgets) in the user interface. Table 1 shows the widgets for awareness support considered in our proposal, along with a brief description of each of them. We have classified the awareness support widgets into two different types: Session Awareness Widgets and Domain Awareness Widgets. These widgets and the classification, which considers what kind of information is handled in each case, are part of the *Awareness view* in the meta-model (Figure 1.a).

Table 1. Definition of Widgets for Awareness Support

Widget	Type	Description
<i>Radar View</i>	<i>Session Awareness Widget</i>	Widget that shows the area in which users are working and the activities they are undertaking.
<i>Telepointer</i>	<i>Domain Awareness Widget</i>	Graphical cursor that indicates the position of the mouse pointer of a user who is interacting with the shared context.
<i>Authorship Property</i>	<i>Domain Awareness Widget</i>	Widget that shows, for each object in the shared context, the user who created it.
<i>Interactions List</i>	<i>Session Awareness Widget</i>	Widget that shows, textually, the interactions taking place during a work session.
<i>Multi-User Scroll Bar</i>	<i>Domain Awareness Widget</i>	Widget that shows the location of each user in the work area according to the position of the scroll bar.
<i>Annotation</i>	<i>Session Awareness Widget</i>	Brief text note which a user can publish in order to share messages asynchronously.
<i>Session Panel</i>	<i>Session Awareness Widget</i>	Widget that shows the actors who are involved in a session, with additional information about them.
<i>Remote Selection</i>	<i>Domain Awareness Widget</i>	Widget that shows objects in the shared context that have been selected by other users.

3 Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper we have introduced a meta-model which allows for the identification of those concepts related to awareness which should be considered in any proposal for the modeling of interactive groupware systems, such as the proposal we ourselves have worked with: that of the CIAM approach. Once these concepts had been identified, along with the relationships between them, the next step was to define a

graphical notation for modeling them. In our case, we opted to take the CIAN graphical notation as our starting point.

Our work in the future will be to define the visual appearance of the notation and to implement a software tool with support for modeling related to our meta-model. This implementation will be developed using meta-model-based graphical editor technologies. Specifically, these will be technologies such as EMF¹ (Eclipse Modelling Framework) and GMF² (Graphical Modelling Framework). By using these technologies, it will be possible to test the semantics of the generated models, and to validate the proposed meta-model. This tool will support the semi-automatic generation of collaborative interfaces from CIAN models and interaction models, both enriched with awareness support elements.

4 Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by the iColab project, funded by the Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha (Spain), and by the Prog-CoLab project, funded by the Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha (Spain).

References

1. Dourish, P., Bellotti, V., *Awareness and coordination in shared workspaces*. In Proceedings of the 1992 ACM conference on Computer-supported cooperative work, Canada. (1992).
2. Gutwin, C. Greenberg, S., *A Descriptive Framework of Workspace Awareness for Real-Time Groupware*. Computer Supported Cooperative Work, 2002. 11(3): p. 411-446. (2002).
3. Gallardo, J., Molina, A. I., Bravo, C., Redondo, M.A., Collazos, C., *An ontological conceptualization approach for awareness in domain-independent collaborative modeling systems: Application to a model-driven development method*. Expert Systems with Applications. 38(2): p. 1099-1118. (2011).
4. Kokar, M.M., Matheus, C.J., Baclawski, K., *Ontology-based situation awareness*. Information Fusion. 10(1): p. 83-98. (2009).
5. Molina, A. I., Redondo, M. A., Ortega, M., *A Review of Notations for Conceptual Modeling of Groupware Systems*, in New Trends on Human-Computer Interaction. Springer London. p. 1-12. (2009).
6. Molina, A.I., Redondo, M.A., Ortega, M., *A methodological approach for user interface development of collaborative applications: A case study*. Science of Computer Programming. 74(9): p. 754-776. (2009).
7. Molina, A.I., Redondo, M.A., Ortega, M., *A Conceptual and Methodological Framework for Modeling Interactive Groupware Applications*. CRIWG 2006. p. 413-420. (2006).
8. Ellis, C. A., Gibbs, S. J., Rein, G., *Groupware: some issues and experiences*. Communication of ACM. 34 (1). 1991.