Typical Voronoi cells for Cox point processes on Manhattan grids

A. Hinsen 1, C. Hirsch 2, B. Jahnel 1, and E. Cali 3

1Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics, Mohrenstraße 39, 10117 Berlin, Germany
2University of Mannheim, Institute of Mathematics, B6, 26, 68161 Mannheim, Germany
3Orange Labs Network, 44 Avenue de la République, 92320 Châtiton, France

Abstract—The typical cell is a key concept for stochastic-geometry based modeling in communication networks, as it provides a rigorous framework for describing properties of a serving zone associated with a component selected at random in a large network. We consider a setting where network components are located on a large street network. While earlier investigations were restricted to street systems without preferred directions, in this paper we derive the distribution of the typical cell in Manhattan-type systems characterized by a pattern of horizontal and vertical streets, designed to model real cities with a grid-like layout. We explain how the mathematical description can be turned into a simulation algorithm, provide numerical results uncovering novel effects when compared to classical isotropic networks and finally compare the results to a real street system.

Index Terms—Palm calculus, typical cell, Cox point process, random tessellation, anisotropy.

I. INTRODUCTION

In large cities, major network operators manage several hundreds of base stations together with their associated serving zones. The first basic desire is then to understand the average behavior of these serving zones. In other words, to give answers to the question:

What are the characteristics of a typical cell?

As an alternative to a slow and error-prone analysis of each individual cell, stochastic geometry offers a versatile modeling framework, which can serve as a predictive tool or to study use cases [1], [2]. In this setting, serving zones are represented by the cells of the Voronoi tessellation associated to a point process of base stations. The formalism of Palm calculus makes it possible to assign a precise meaning to the idea of selecting a cell at random in a possibly unbounded network.

A specific difficulty in the setting of telecommunication networks stems from the practice that cell centers are often located along the streets. In the past, this has been particularly relevant in fixed-access networks, where precise mathematical descriptions together with simulation algorithm have been developed for the typical cell in street systems based on classical tessellation models such as the Poisson line, the Poisson-Voronoi or the Poisson-Delaunay tessellation [3], [4], [5]. Nowadays, due to the rise of device-to-device (D2D) networks, for example vehicular networks, and in the context of fifth-generation wireless networks (5G), models with nodes located on street systems become increasingly important [6], [7], [8], [9]. Indeed, vehicles are mostly confined to streets and their communication, within the additional 5G frequencies, should be considered outdoors, since these frequencies will hardly be able to penetrate walls. Besides, also the connectivity characteristics of such ad-hoc network models sensitively depend on the specifics of the spatial distribution of nodes [10].

In view of the increasingly important emerging markets in the Middle East and Africa, the classical tessellation models are only of limited use, since they share isotropy as a common feature. In other words, there is no single predominant direction along which the roads would align. This contrasts starkly the prevailing street topography in emerging-market economies, which often exhibits a strikingly rectangular or Manhattan-like architecture.

In light of this discussion, we extend the method of Palm calculus and typical cells to a more flexible class of anisotropic street systems. In particular, based on notions from renewal
theory, we introduce the *Manhattan grids*, which represent street systems with two perpendicular directions and a flexible distribution for distances between streets. As an additional step, our model extends to a nested setting, where inside blocks of the Manhattan grid, further systems of side streets are added. Figure 1 illustrates the system model based on Manhattan and nested Manhattan grids. The main mathematical contributions of this work, Theorems III.1 and III.2, provide a simulation algorithm for the typical cell in Manhattan grids based on a tractable description of the Palm distribution of the street system. Based on this algorithm, we show in a simulation study that anisotropy and regularity of Manhattan grids lead to surprising novel effects that are not present in the classical tessellation models.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we provide the precise mathematical details of the proposed network model. In Section III, we derive a tractable representation of the Palm distribution and a simulation algorithm for the typical cell in Manhattan and nested Manhattan grids. In Section IV, we illustrate in a simulation study that anisotropy can lead to surprising consequences for the distribution of central network characteristics, such as shortest-path lengths. In Section V, we compare simulations of Section IV to those, where the Manhattan grid is replaced by the street system in a section of Manhattan (NYC). Finally, Section VI summarizes the findings and points to avenues for further research.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

A. Street-system based network models

To define the typical cell, we consider a network model with components on a street system as described in [3]. More precisely, we start from a random street system $S$ that is invariant with respect to translations in the two space dimensions but not necessarily isotropic. Writing $|B|$ for the total street length in an area $B$, we let $\gamma = \mathbb{E}[\|S \cap [0, 1]^2\|]$ denote the street intensity of $S$, i.e., the expected street length per unit area. Given a realization of the street system, network components $Y = \{Y_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ are scattered at random along the streets according to a Poisson point process with a linear intensity $\lambda > 0$. Since the street system itself comes from a stochastic model, the network components $Y$ form a Cox point process with random intensity measure concentrated on $S$.

The network component $Y_i$ is responsible for providing service in an area described by its cell

$$C_i = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |y - Y_i| \leq \inf_{j \geq 1} |y - Y_j|\}.$$  

The family of these cells forms the Voronoi tessellation of $Y$.

Then, the typical cell $C^*$ encodes the idea of the cell associated with a component selected at random from $Y$. More precisely, relying on the machinery of Palm calculus, $C^*$ is determined via the distributional identity

$$\mathbb{E}[f(C^*)] = \frac{1}{\lambda\gamma} \sum_{Y_i \in [0, 1]^2} f(C_i - Y_i) \quad (1)$$

for any non-negative measurable test-function $f$.

B. Definitions of Manhattan- and nested Manhattan grids

In this paper, the underlying street system $S$ forms either a Manhattan grid or a nested Manhattan grid. First, we describe the construction of the Manhattan grid. Consider two independent, identically distributed and stationary renewal processes $X^v$ and $X^h$ on $\mathbb{R}$ representing the coordinates of vertical and horizontal streets, respectively. That is, the distances between the $i$th and the $(i + 1)$th point in such a process are independent over $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and distributed according to an inter-arrival distribution. It is further assumed to have the property that any translation of the process does not change its distribution. Then, we define the Manhattan grid as

$$S = S^h \cup S^v = (\mathbb{R} \times X^h) \cup (X^v \times \mathbb{R}).$$

In particular, the street intensity decomposes as

$$\gamma = \gamma^h + \gamma^v = \mathbb{E}[|S^h \cap [0, 1]^2|] + \mathbb{E}[|S^v \cap [0, 1]^2|].$$

In the special case where the inter-arrival distribution is exponential, both $X^v$ and $X^h$ are homogeneous Poisson processes, so that we recover a rectangular Poisson line tessellation.

The construction of the nested Manhattan grid $\bar{S}$, builds on a Manhattan grid $S$ as the initial tessellation of main streets. Then, a sequence of further independent and identically distributed (iid) Manhattan grids $S_1, S_2, \ldots$, models the side streets within every block $(\Xi_i)_{i \geq 1}$ in $S$. More precisely, $\Xi_i \cap S_i$ describes the inner structure of each $\Xi_i$. The street intensity $\bar{\gamma}$ of $\bar{S}$ takes into account contributions both from the main streets as well as the side streets. Therefore, it equals

$$\bar{\gamma} = \gamma + \gamma_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma_1 = \mathbb{E}[|S_1 \cap [0, 1]^2|].$$

denotes the intensity of side streets.

C. Construction of the underlying renewal processes

As explained above, in order to construct and implement a Manhattan grid with given inter-arrival distribution, we first need to be able to simulate the corresponding stationary renewal process. In queuing theory, a one-sided renewal process $A = \{A_i\}_{i \geq 1}$ with inter-arrival distribution $\mathcal{L}(I)$ is a point process on the positive half-line $[0, \infty)$ where the inter-arrival times $I_{i+1} = A_{i+1} - A_i$ are iid with distribution $\mathcal{L}(I)$, see [11, Section V.1].

In order to transform the one-sided process into a stationary renewal process, we first add another independent renewal process on the negative half-line $(-\infty, 0]$ with the same inter-arrival distribution. Although this defines a two-sided process, it is not yet stationary as the initial segment containing the origin requires special attention. More precisely, due to the waiting-time paradox, when picking a random point in time, the probability for this point to be in a larger segment is increased [11, Section V.3]. Therefore, the length of the segment containing the origin follows a length-biased distribution $\mathcal{L}(I^*)$ characterized by

$$\mathbb{E}[f(I^*)] = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[I]} \mathbb{E}[If(I)]$$
for any non-negative measurable test-function $f$. If the distribution $\mathcal{L}(I)$ has a density $g(x)$ w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure, then $\mathcal{L}(I^*)$ has the density $xg(x)/\alpha$, where $\alpha$ is the normalization constant.

As illustrated in Figure 2, we construct the stationary renewal process by sampling the length of the initial segment containing the origin according to the length-biased distribution with the origin chosen uniformly at random on that edge. The remaining segments are sampled independently according to the given inter-arrival distribution $\mathcal{L}(I)$. Then, [12, Theorem 8.4.1] describes the distribution of the resulting stationary process, which we present in Proposition II.1.

\[ \begin{array}{ccccccc}
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Fig. 2: Construction of the stationary renewal process

**Proposition II.1.** Let $\{I_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}}$ be a doubly-infinite sequence of iid inter-arrival times distributed according to $\mathcal{L}(I)$ with $\mathbb{E}(I) < \infty$. Next, let $I^*$ be an independent random variable distributed according to the length-biased distribution and let $U \sim \text{Unif}([0, 1])$ be independent and uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$. Then, the point process of arrival times

\[ A_i = U^* + \sum_{j \leq i-1} I_j \text{ and } A_{-i} = (U-1)I^* - \sum_{j \leq i-1} I_{-j} \]

is stationary. It defines the stationary renewal process with inter-arrival distribution $\mathcal{L}(I)$.

When building the typical cell, the network is seen from a network component selected at random on the street system. Since in the Manhattan-grid case, this network is constructed from a stationary renewal process, we need to describe the stationary renewal process seen from a randomly selected arrival time. To make this mathematically precise, we resort to the framework of Palm calculus. More precisely, the Palm version $A^*$ of the stationary renewal process $A$ is determined by the distributional identity

\[ \mathbb{E}[f(A^*)] = \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}[\#(A \cap [0, 1])]} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{A_i \in A \cap [0, 1]} f(A - A_i) \right], \]

for any non-negative measurable test-function $f$. In the setting of renewal processes, passing from $A$ to $A^*$ is dual to the construction of $A$ as made precise in [12, Theorem 8.4.1], which we summarize in Proposition II.2.

**Proposition II.2.** Let $A$ be a stationary renewal process with inter-arrival distribution $\mathcal{L}(I)$ with $\mathbb{E}(I) < \infty$. Then, the Palm version $A^*$ of $\{A_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is given by $A^*_0 = o$ and for $i \geq 1$,

\[ A^*_i = \sum_{j \leq i} I_j \text{ and } A^*_{-i} = - \sum_{j \leq i} I_{-j}. \]

In this section, we derive a representation for the typical cell $C^*$, which is both easy to understand and amenable to simulations. It is based on a tractable description of the Palm versions of the underlying street systems.

**A. Distribution of the typical cell**

We rely on [3, Lemma 3.3], which expresses the distribution of $C^*$ as defined in (1) with respect to the distribution of the Palm version $S^*$ of the underlying street system. The latter describes the street system seen from a point selected at random on the streets and is formally given as

\[ \mathbb{E}[f(S^*)] = \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{S \cap [0, 1]^2} f(S - y) dy \right], \]

for any non-negative measurable test-function $f$. We summarize the result of [3, Lemma 3.3] in the following simulation algorithm for the typical cell $C^*$. We first realize a street system $S^*$ distributed according to the Palm version of $S$ and then place the network components according to a Poisson point process $Y$ on this network. The typical cell corresponds to the cell when adding an additional point at the origin to this network.

**Algorithm 1 Typical cell $C^*$**

$S^* \sim$ Palm version of $S$

Given $S^*, Y \sim$ Poisson point process with intensity $\lambda |S^* \cap \cdot|$

**Algorithm 1 Typical cell $C^*$**

\[ S^* \sim \text{Palm version of } S \]

Given $S^*, Y \sim \text{Poisson point process with intensity } \lambda |S^* \cap \cdot|$.

**Algorithm 1 Typical cell $C^*$**

return Voronoi cell $C^*$ at $o$ with respect to $\{o\} \cup Y$

Hence, the main mathematical contributions of the present paper are rigorous derivations of tractable representations for the Palm distribution of the Manhattan grid and the nested Manhattan grid.

**B. Palm distribution of Manhattan grids**

First, we provide an algorithmic description of a street system $S^*$ that will be shown to have the same distribution as $S^*$. In words, in the algorithm, the origin is located on a horizontal street with probability $\gamma^h/\gamma$. In this case, the coordinates of vertical streets form a stationary renewal process $Y^v$, whereas for the horizontal streets we need the Palm version $X^{h,*}$ as defined in (2). If the origin is located on a vertical street, the roles are reversed.

**Algorithm 2 Palm version of a Manhattan grid**

$U \sim \text{Unif}([0, 1])$

if $U \leq \gamma^h/\gamma$ then

\[ S^v,* \leftarrow X^v \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and } S^h,* \leftarrow \mathbb{R} \times X^{h,*} \]

else

\[ S^v,* \leftarrow X^{v,*} \times \mathbb{R} \text{ and } S^h,* \leftarrow \mathbb{R} \times X^h \]

end if

return $S^v,* \cup S^h,*$
Theorem III.1 (Palm version of a Manhattan grid). The distribution of the random street system, generated via Algorithm 2 coincides with the Palm distribution of the Manhattan grid.

Proof. Starting from definition (3), we compute
\[
\mathbb{E}[f(S^{v*}, S^{b*})] = \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{S \cap [0,1]^2} f(S^v - x, S^b - x) \, dx \right] = \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{S \cap [0,1]^2} f(S^v - x, S^b - x) \, dx \right] + \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{S \cap [0,1]^2} f(S^v - x, S^b - x) \, dx \right].
\]

Then, for the first summand, we further write
\[
\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{S \cap [0,1]^2} f(S^v - x, S^b - x) \, dx \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{X^v \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 f(S^v - (X^v, u), S^b - (X^v, u)) \, du \right] \approx \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{X^v \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 f(S^v - (X^v, 0), S^b - (0, u)) \, du \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{X^v \in [0,1]} f(S^v - (X^v, 0), S^b) \right]
\]
where we used stationarity in the last step. Of course the computation can be repeated with reversed roles of horizontal and vertical streets. Hence, by definition (2),
\[
\mathbb{E}[f(S^{v*}, S^{b*})] = \frac{n^v}{\gamma} \mathbb{E}[f(X^{v*} \times \mathbb{R}, S^b)] + \frac{n^b}{\gamma} \mathbb{E}[f(S^v, \mathbb{R} \times X^{b*})] = \mathbb{E}[f(S^{v*}, S^{b*})]
\]
which is the desired representation. □

C. Palm distribution of nested Manhattan grids

Next, we give an algorithm for nested Manhattan grids. Here, we assume that the Palm version of the non-nested Manhattan grid is available via Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 3 Palm version of a nested Manhattan grid

\[
U \sim \text{Unif}([0,1])
\]

if \( U \leq \gamma / \tilde{\gamma} \) then
\[
S^* \leftarrow S^* \text{ and } S^*_1 \leftarrow S_1
\]
else
\[
S^* \leftarrow S \text{ and } S^*_1 \leftarrow S^*_1
\]
end if

return \( S^* \cup S^*_1 \)

Theorem III.2 (Palm version of a nested Manhattan grid). The distribution of the random street system, generated via Algorithm 3 coincides with the Palm distribution of the nested Manhattan grid.

Proof. Proceeding along the lines of the proof of Theorem III.1, the Palm version \( \tilde{S}^* \) can be written as a mixture of two Palm versions. Formally, \( \tilde{S}^* = h(S; \{ S_i \}_{i \geq 1}) \), where \( h \) encodes the translation-covariant construction rule described in Section II-B. Then, by [13, Theorem 3.1], the identity
\[
\mathbb{E}[f(S^*)] = \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\gamma}} \mathbb{E}[f(h(S^*; \{ S_i \}_{i \geq 1})] + \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\gamma}} \mathbb{E}[f(h(S; S^*_1, \{ S_i \}_{i \geq 2}))]
\]
holds for all non-negative measurable test-functions \( f \), where \( S_1 \) denotes the side-street within the block of the main street containing the origin. But this is the desired representation.

IV. Simulation study

Algorithms 1 and 2 open the door to investigating how the anisotropy of the street system affects pivotal network characteristics. As a prototypical example, we present here typical shortest-path lengths. Loosely speaking, this network characteristic describes the length of the shortest path on the street network from a randomly selected point on the street to the network component in whose serving zone it is located.

More rigorously, we place network components \( Z \) according to a Cox process on the Palm distribution \( S^* \) of the street system, and then measure the shortest connection length \( \ell(Z_i) \) on \( S^* \) from the origin to the network component \( Z_i \) in which it is contained. As has been observed in [14, Lemma 4.2], the probability density of shortest-path lengths is often similar to a Weibull distribution. On the other hand, the more refined investigation done in the simulation study presented below reveals that the probability density of shortest-path lengths in Manhattan grids exhibits properties that cannot be observed in isotropic networks [15].

In [15], the typical shortest-path length was considered from the perspective of fixed-access networks, where it provides a cost indication for upgrading copper wires to fibers. In the setting of wireless networks, it reappears as a central performance indicator for D2D networks. Indeed, as users move predominantly along streets, relaying messages from a given user to its associated infrastructure component via other users is constrained by the topology and geometry of the street system. Hence, knowing the typical shortest-path length provides a strong indication on the number of required relaying hops, which can then be used to decide whether D2D is a viable option for the service under consideration.

To describe the probability density \( \tilde{f}(r) \) of the typical shortest-path length, we rely on the estimator \( \hat{f}(r) \) from [15, Theorem 2]. For \( n \geq 1 \) iid realizations \( C_1, \ldots, C_n \) of the typical cell on the typical street systems \( S_1, \ldots, S_n \), it is given as
\[
\hat{f}(r) = \frac{\lambda}{n} \sum_{i \leq n} N_{i,r}
\]
where
\[
N_{i,r} = \# \{ y \in C_i \cap S_r^* : \ell(y) = r \}
\]
denotes the number of points on \( C_i \cap S_i \), whose shortest-path length to \( o \) equals \( r \).

In the simulation study, we work with an inter-arrival distribution \( L(I) \) that is sufficiently flexible to capture both the mean as well as the fluctuations of distances. In order to achieve this, we choose \( L(I) \) as a truncated Gaussian. That is, \( I \sim \mathcal{N}_+ (\mu, \sigma^2) \) is distributed according to a normal random variable \( \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2) \) with mean \( \mu \) and variance \( \sigma^2 \) conditioned on being nonnegative. Unless stated otherwise, we assume the same inter-arrival distribution for horizontal and vertical streets.

Based on the estimator (4), Figure 3 illustrates the density of the typical shortest-path length in a symmetric Manhattan grid with \( \lambda = 0.1, \mu = 0.2 \) and \( n = 10,000 \) simulation runs. The plot reveals two striking features of typical shortest-path lengths based on Manhattan grids that do not occur in the isotropic models studied in [15, Section 5]. First, the density increases linearly for small distances. More precisely, we detect two phases of linear increase, where the slope in the second phase equals roughly three times the slope in the first phase. Moreover, for longer distances the density is bumpy exhibiting local minima and maxima.

To understand better the origins of these peculiarities, we present in Figure 3 the effects of changing the magnitude of variability in the street distances caused by different choices of \( \sigma \). To fix ideas, we always think of the initial segment as horizontal. In the limiting regime \( \sigma \rightarrow 0 \) the streets arrange in a planar lattice with fixed mesh size \( \sigma \) of \( \sigma \). To fix ideas, we always think of the initial segment as horizontal. In the limiting regime \( \sigma \rightarrow 0 \) the streets arrange in a planar lattice with fixed mesh size \( \sigma \). Hence, for \( r \leq 1/2 \), with probability \( 1 - 2r \) the origin lies far enough from the endpoints and \( N_r = 2 \). Conversely, with probability \( 2r \), it lies close to one of the end points. Then, at the side of that point, we find three segments containing a point at distance \( r \), so that in total \( N_r = 4 \).

Hence, for \( r \leq 1/2 \),

\[
f(r) = \lambda (2(1 - 2r) + 8r) = 4\lambda r + 2\lambda.
\]

By a similar calculation, this relation remains valid for \( 1/2 \leq r \leq 1 \).

However, for \( r \geq 1 \) new effects appear. Indeed, for \( 1 \leq r \leq \frac{3}{2} \), if \( o \) is at distance at most \( r - 1 \) to one of the endpoints of the initial segment then \( N_r = 12 \), and \( N_r = 6 \) otherwise. A detailed computation along these lines reveals that for \( 1 \leq r < 2 \), the density still increases linearly at a steeper slope

\[
f(r) = 12\lambda r - 6\lambda.
\]

Finally, the discontinuity at \( r = 2 \) is caused by the occurrence of distance peaks. More precisely, for \( r \) slightly smaller than \( 2 \), the two neighboring horizontal segments to the initial segment both contain two points at distance \( r \). For \( r > 2 \) all four points disappear, so that the density drops by \( 4\lambda = 2f(0) \).

To elucidate this behavior, we first note that the ratio \( \gamma/\lambda \) of the street intensity divided by the base-station intensity equals roughly 100, so that the typical cell is much larger than the mesh size. Hence, for small distances, variations in the typical shortest-path length are induced by the random location of the origin on the initial segment rather than the size of the confining cell. For \( r \) close to 0, the segment of length \( 2r \) centered at \( o \) is contained entirely in the initial segment with high probability, so that \( N_r = 2 \). Hence, \( f(0) \approx 2\lambda \).

More precisely, for \( r \leq 1/2 \), with probability \( 1 - 2r \) the origin lies far enough from the endpoints and \( N_r = 2 \). Conversely, with probability \( 2r \), it lies close to one of the endpoints. Then, at the side of that point, we find three segments containing a point at distance \( r \), so that in total \( N_r = 4 \).

Hence, for \( r \leq 1/2 \),

\[
f(r) = \lambda (2(1 - 2r) + 8r) = 4\lambda r + 2\lambda.
\]

By a similar calculation, this relation remains valid for \( 1/2 \leq r \leq 1 \).

However, for \( r \geq 1 \) new effects appear. Indeed, for \( 1 \leq r \leq \frac{3}{2} \), if \( o \) is at distance at most \( r - 1 \) to one of the endpoints of the initial segment then \( N_r = 12 \), and \( N_r = 6 \) otherwise. A detailed computation along these lines reveals that for \( 1 \leq r < 2 \), the density still increases linearly at a steeper slope

\[
f(r) = 12\lambda r - 6\lambda.
\]

Finally, the discontinuity at \( r = 2 \) is caused by the occurrence of distance peaks. More precisely, for \( r \) slightly smaller than \( 2 \), the two neighboring horizontal segments to the initial segment both contain two points at distance \( r \). For \( r > 2 \) all four points disappear, so that the density drops by \( 4\lambda = 2f(0) \).

In principle, this analysis can be continued to yield a piecewise linear structure also for larger values of \( r \). However, for finite \( \gamma/\lambda \), at some stage the effects of the confining cell can no longer be neglected and induce the observed exponential decay of the density over long distances.

V. COMPARISON TO REAL STREET SYSTEMS

The Manhattan grid with truncated Gaussian inter-arrival times can serve as a prototypical example of an anisotropic street system, which is essentially parameterized by only a few parameters, for example in the asymmetric case by \( \gamma^v, \gamma^h \). Nevertheless, real street systems which predominantly appear like a Manhattan grid, in most cases occasionally break the strictly rectangular structure and feature also for example T-crossings. To give an idea how well our Manhattan-grid models can reflect real-world street topologies, in this section, we present a comparison case study. For this we simulated the density of the typical shortest-path length based on the street system of a section of Manhattan New York City. To elucidate this behavior, we first note that the ratio \( \gamma/\lambda \) of the street intensity divided by the base-station intensity equals roughly 100, so that the typical cell is much larger than the mesh size. Hence, for small distances, variations in the typical shortest-path length are induced by the random location of the origin on the initial segment rather than the size of the confining cell. For \( r \) close to 0, the segment of length \( 2r \) centered at \( o \) is contained entirely in the initial segment with high probability, so that \( N_r = 2 \). Hence, \( f(0) \approx 2\lambda \).

More precisely, for \( r \leq 1/2 \), with probability \( 1 - 2r \) the origin lies far enough from the endpoints and \( N_r = 2 \). Conversely, with probability \( 2r \), it lies close to one of the end points. Then, at the side of that point, we find three segments containing a point at distance \( r \), so that in total \( N_r = 4 \).

Hence, for \( r \leq 1/2 \),

\[
f(r) = \lambda (2(1 - 2r) + 8r) = 4\lambda r + 2\lambda.
\]

By a similar calculation, this relation remains valid for \( 1/2 \leq r \leq 1 \).

However, for \( r \geq 1 \) new effects appear. Indeed, for \( 1 \leq r \leq \frac{3}{2} \), if \( o \) is at distance at most \( r - 1 \) to one of the endpoints of the initial segment then \( N_r = 12 \), and \( N_r = 6 \) otherwise. A detailed computation along these lines reveals that for \( 1 \leq r < 2 \), the density still increases linearly at a steeper slope

\[
f(r) = 12\lambda r - 6\lambda.
\]

Finally, the discontinuity at \( r = 2 \) is caused by the occurrence of distance peaks. More precisely, for \( r \) slightly smaller than \( 2 \), the two neighboring horizontal segments to the initial segment both contain two points at distance \( r \). For \( r > 2 \) all four points disappear, so that the density drops by \( 4\lambda = 2f(0) \).

In principle, this analysis can be continued to yield a piecewise linear structure also for larger values of \( r \). However, for finite \( \gamma/\lambda \), at some stage the effects of the confining cell can no longer be neglected and induce the observed exponential decay of the density over long distances.

V. COMPARISON TO REAL STREET SYSTEMS

The Manhattan grid with truncated Gaussian inter-arrival times can serve as a prototypical example of an anisotropic street system, which is essentially parameterized by only a few parameters, for example in the asymmetric case by \( \gamma^v, \gamma^h \). Nevertheless, real street systems which predominantly appear like a Manhattan grid, in most cases occasionally break the strictly rectangular structure and feature also for example T-crossings. To give an idea how well our Manhattan-grid models can reflect real-world street topologies, in this section, we present a comparison case study. For this we simulated the density of the typical shortest-path length based on the street system of a section of Manhattan New York City, and compared it with the density which we simulated based on an associated random asymmetric Manhattan grid \( S \) with truncated Gaussian inter-arrival distances. More precisely, we extracted the section of the street system of Manhattan from the Open Street Map database [16] and estimated the mean street lengths as \( \mu^v = 134m \) and \( \mu^h = 83m \). Keeping the standard deviations fixed at 1/10-th of the means, in Figure 4, we present a direct comparison of the resulting simulated probability densities for the shortest-path lengths.

Let us note that, when working with the real street system \( S \), we can not use the Palm-distribution algorithm as described above. Instead we estimated the typical cell by distributing the network components \( Z \) as a Poisson point process on \( S \) and then, for every simulation, picked one of them uniformly at
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\lambda = 1, L \equiv \mu = 2
\]
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\sigma = 0
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\]

\[
\sigma = .02
\]

\[
\sigma = 2
\]

Fig. 3: Effects of varying \( \sigma \)
random. In order to compensate for boundary effects, we here chose to condition on those network components with cells not intersecting the boundary of $S$.

It is not easy to isolate particular reasons why the simulations based on $S$ for example show heavier tails in the density. On the one hand, non-rectangular streets, like the Broadway, can result in shorter shortest-paths. On the other hand, dead ends and T-crossings, originating for example from parks, should lead to longer shortest-paths. However, in view of Figure 3, the tail behavior should be very robust with parks, should lead to longer shortest-paths. On the other hand, non-rectangular streets, like the Broadway, can result in shorter shortest-paths. Moreover, the presented street-system model can serve as a starting point for deeper studies in the context of wireless communications, for example by considering mobile devices moving along streets. Also here it would be interesting to see, if anisotropy has a measurable effect on the quality of service of such systems.
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