Experimental Comparison of Linear Prediction Schemes for Multi-User MIMO Systems
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Abstract—In a multi-user multiple-input multiple-output (MU-MIMO) system, zero-forcing precoding can completely eliminates inter-user interference when the perfect channel state information is available at the base station. However, in time-varying channels, the transmission performance of MU-MIMO systems is severely degraded due to inter-user interference. In this paper, channel prediction is employed for channel tracking, and in-lab experiments are carried out to confirm its performance. The bit error rate (BER) performance is measured and compared with the computer simulation results. Both in-lab experiments and the computer simulations show that channel prediction improves the BER performance.

I. INTRODUCTION

Multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) techniques are employed in the current and future wireless communication systems. This technique utilizes multiple antennas at a base station (BS) and a mobile station (MS). Multiple antennas can provide spatial diversity and spatial multiplexing effects.

Multi-user MIMO (MU-MIMO) techniques have been studied because it can achieve capacity enhancement even for a mobile station having few antennas [1]. In MU-MIMO systems, the computational complexity required for mobile stations can be reduced with precoding at the BS. For precoding, downlink channel state information (CSI) is required. Downlink channel estimation methods have been studied in [2] [3]. In this paper, a simple two-way channel estimation method [4] [5] is used. Measurement experiments of MU-MIMO systems have been reported [6] [7] [8].

However, time difference between channel estimation and precoding results in performance degradation in time-varying channels. Channel prediction is employed to compensate for this degradation [9] [10]. One of channel prediction techniques is linear prediction. Performance evaluation of MU-MIMO systems with linear extrapolation using measurement data have been reported [11].

In this paper, the system performance of a MU-MIMO system with the linear prediction is evaluated in term of bit error rate (BER). The sample matrix inversion (SMI) algorithm and the recursive least squares (RLS) algorithm are employed in order to calculate the tap weight vector of linear predictor. In-lab experiments are conducted using a fading emulator.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

We considered a MU-MIMO transmission system. In this paper, we assume a BS is equipped with M antennas and N MSs. A round-trip training signal is received at the BS. Training signal to BS is given by

\[ y(t) = H(t)m(t) + n(t), \]

where \( H(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times M} \) is the channel matrix at time \( t \). One way of dealing with inter-user interference is ZF precoding. Pseudo-inverse of \( H(t) \) with \( m(t) \) at a transmitter results in

\[ y(t) = m(t) + n(t) \]

at the receiver. When \( H(t) \) is known at the transmitter, ZF precoding imposes the constraint that all interference terms be zero.

The problem is the difference between the estimated channel matrix \( H(n) \) and the \( H(n+\tau) \), where \( \tau \) is the time difference between estimation and transmission. In this paper, \( H(n+1) \) is obtained by the linear prediction, and then the channel matrix \( H(n+\tau) \) for precoding is calculated based on \( H(n-1) \), \( H(n) \), and \( H(n+1) \).

A. Channel Estimation

In the MU-MIMO transmission system under consideration, the BS is able to find the knowledge of the downlink channel based on uplink channel coefficients and round-trip channel coefficients by the two-way estimation method (echo-MIMO) [4] [5]. This method can reduce the required computational complexity of the MS because the signal processing for channel estimation is performed at the BS. To know both uplink and round-trip channel coefficients, the BS sends the training signal, and then MSs repeat and send its received signal back to the BS [4] described in Fig. 1.

The BS equipped with \( M \) antennas sends a round-trip training signal to \( N \) MSs. A round-trip training signal \( y \) at MSs is given by

\[ y = H_{down}m + n_{MS}, \]

where \( m \) is a round-trip training signal at the BS. Training signals transmitted from antennas are orthogonal. MSs send
the received signal \( y \) together with a training signal \( m \) back to the BS. Then the BS receives signals \( \hat{y} \) and \( y' \) from MSs given by

\[
\hat{y} = H_{up} y + n_{BS}
\]

\[
y' = H_{round} m + \hat{n}
\]

(3)

(4)

where \( H_{round} = H_{up} H_{down} \), as round-trip channel matrix and \( \hat{n} = H_{up} n_{MS} + n_{BS} \) as round-trip noise. At the BS, we use the linear least squares channel estimation method \cite{4}\cite{12} for both \( H_{up} \) and \( H_{round} \) given by

\[
H'_{up} = E[y'm^\dagger]
\]

\[
H'_{round} = E[y'm^\dagger],
\]

(5)

(6)

where \([\cdot]^\dagger\) denotes pseudo inverse. Then \( H'_{down} \) is given by

\[
H'_{down} = H'_{up} H'_{round}.
\]

(7)

III. LINEAR PREDICTION AND APPLICATION FOR ZF PRECODING

In this paper, we use SMI algorithm and RLS algorithm for the linear prediction. The linear prediction is based on a \( k \) tap transversal filter shown in Fig. 2. Let the tap-input vector \( x(i) \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times 1} \) and the tap weight vector \( w(n) \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times 1} \). The predictor output \( x(n+1) \) is given by

\[
x(n+1) = w^H(n)x(n).
\]

(8)

A. Sample Matrix Inversion

SMI algorithm is based on the Wiener solution. Cost function \( L(n) \) at time \( n \) is given by

\[
L(n) = \sum_{i=n-m}^{n} |e(i)|^2.
\]

(9)

B. Recursive Least Squares

RLS algorithm is the method of least squares to develop the recursive algorithm. It introduces a forgetting factor into the definition of the cost function \( \hat{L}(n) \) given by

\[
\hat{L}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda^{n-i} |e(i)|^2,
\]

(13)

where \( \lambda \) is the forgetting factor. If \( \hat{R}(n) \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times k} \) is invertible, the tap weight vector \( \hat{w}(n) \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times 1} \) is defined by

\[
\hat{w}(n) = \hat{R}^{-1}(n)\hat{r}(n),
\]

(14)

where the correlation matrix \( \hat{R}(n) \) and the cross-correlation vector \( \hat{r}(n) \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times 1} \) are given by

\[
\hat{R}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda^{n-i} x(i)x^H(i)
\]

\[
= \lambda \hat{R}(n-1) + x(n)x^H(n) \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots)
\]

(15)

\[
\hat{r}(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda^{n-i} x(i)x^*(i+1)
\]

\[
= \lambda \hat{r}(n-1) + x(n)x^*(n+1) \quad (n = 1, 2, \ldots)
\]

(16)
The initial value of $\hat{R}(n)$ and $\hat{r}(n)$ are

$$\hat{R}(0) = \delta I$$
$$\hat{r}(0) = 0,$$

where $\delta$ is a small positive value. In (15), the following equation is held [13]:

$$\hat{R}^{-1}(n) = \lambda^{-1} [\hat{R}^{-1}(n-1) - k(n)x^H(n)\hat{R}^{-1}(n-1)],$$  

(18)

where the gain vector $k(n) \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times 1}$ is given by

$$k(n) = \frac{\lambda^{-1} \hat{R}^{-1}(n-1)x(n)}{1 + \lambda^{-1} x^H(n)\hat{R}^{-1}(n-1)x(n)}.$$  

(19)

By rearranging (18),

$$k(n) = \frac{[\lambda^{-1} \hat{R}^{-1}(n-1) - \lambda^{-1} k(n)x^H(n)]}{\hat{R}^{-1}(n-1)x(n)}.$$  

(20)

From (15), (18) and (20), we have

$$w(n) = \hat{R}^{-1}(n)x(n)$$
$$= \hat{R}^{-1}(n)[\lambda x(n-1) + x(n)x^*(n+1)]$$
$$= w(n-1) - k(n)x^H(n)w(n-1)$$
$$+ k(n)x^*(n+1).$$  

(21)

The update of RLS algorithm is given by (18), (19), (21). Then, we can predict the future value $\hat{x}(n+1)$.

**C. Threshold technique**

In SMI or RLS algorithm, the estimated correlation matrix becomes imperfect when channel variation is too slow or the number of samples for correlation matrix estimation is small. In this condition, the tap weight vector $w(n) = [w_0, w_1, \ldots, w_{k-1}]^T$ diverges, which results in severe performance degradation. Thus, it is necessary to avoid the unexpected value of the tap weight vector. Introducing a threshold for the tap weight vector coefficient is a simple way to avoid this performance degradation. When the absolute value of $w_{k-1}$ exceeds 1, it is considered that the linear prediction does not work properly and should not be used at time $n$. Fig. 3 shows a transfer function model of linear prediction. In Fig. 3, prediction error $e(z)$

$$e(z) = x(z)(1 - (z^{-1}H(z)))$$
$$= 1 - (z^{-1}(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_i z^{-i}))$$

$$= 1 - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_i z^{-i-1}.$$  

(22)

The absolute value of $w_{k-1}$ should be kept less than 1. Otherwise, linear prediction does not work because a zero of $e$ is outside unit-circle and this filter works for a zero of $e$. It is introduced that this system is only stable when absolute value of $w_{k-1}$ is kept less than 1. Fig. 4 shows the impact of the threshold on the BER performance of 2x2 MU-MIMO with linear prediction by computer simulations.

**D. Channel prediction for precoding**

In time-varying channels, time difference $\tau$ between channel estimation and precoding at the BS causes performance degradation in precoding. In this paper, the channel matrix $H(n + \tau)$ is estimated with $H(n - 1), H(n)$ and $H(n + 1)$ which is obtained by the linear prediction. $H(n + \tau)$ is given using second-order interpolation by

$$\hat{H}(n + \tau) = \frac{\tau(\tau - 1)}{2} H(n - 1) - (\tau + 1)(\tau - 1)$$
$$H(n) - \frac{\tau(\tau + 1)}{2} H(n + 1).$$  

(23)

**IV. IN-LAB EXPERIMENTS**

The MU-MIMO transmission performance measurements were conducted using a fading emulator. In this paper, a BS equipped with $M = 2$ antennas and $N = 2$ MSs each equipped one antenna are considered. The fading emulator emulates eight channels and these channels are used as a 2x2 MU-MIMO channel. These channels are i.i.d. Rayleigh fading channels and direction-of-arrival distributions of these channels are considered.

**Fig. 3.** Transfer function model of linear prediction filter.

**Fig. 4.** BER performance curves for 2x2 MU-MIMO with channel prediction in computer simulations. Introducing a threshold of tap weight vector results in that BER performance is improved especially in high SNR region.
A. Experimental system

1) BS equipment: Fig. 5 shows the BS equipment. Two modular RF signal generators (SGs), two modular RF signal analyzers (SAs), an FPGA board, and a modular PC are contained in the BS equipment. In two SGs or two SAs, the same local oscillator is used. Fig. 6 shows the block diagram of the BS equipment. An SG consists of a 16-bit DAC and a vector modulator. An SA consists of a downconverter and a 16-bit ADC. In SA, the received signal is translated to low IF by the downconverter, and then digitized by the ADC. The digital low IF signal is demodulated and recorded in the onboard memory. For the local oscillator, a 10 MHz reference is used.

2) MSs equipment: Fig. 7 shows MSs equipment. The universal software radio peripheral (USRP) is used to each MS equipment. Fig. 8 shows the block diagram of the MS equipment. It consists of a motherboard and a daughterboard. A motherboard performs A/D and D/A conversion and (de)modulation between baseband and low IF. A daughterboard contains RF front end which performs frequency translation between RF and low IF. Signal processing is done on an external PC through the Gigabit Ethernet interface. The same 10 MHz reference as the BS equipment is used and the synchronization between the BS and MSs is established.

3) Packet structure: Fig. 9 shows the packet structure. A frame is constructed by three packets. First, a BS transmits training sequences (TSs) for the round-trip channel at the time $t = 0$. The training sequences transmitted from the BS are orthogonal and transmitted at the same time and frequency. Each MS sends back the received round-trip TS by amplify-and-forward relaying with another TS for uplink channel estimation at $t = 2$ ms. Both of the two TSs have 16 symbols. We can estimate round-trip channel matrix $H_{\text{round}}$ and uplink channel matrix $H_{\text{up}}$ at the BS. The BS estimates...
downlink channel $H'_{\text{down}}$ at $t = 0$ given by (7). A BS predicts downlink channel at $t = 10$ ms using downlink channel state information at previous frames, and transmits 80-symbol-long precoded data at $t = 10$ ms. The frame duration is 50 ms in order to ensure that the signal processing and data recording are finished on time in an external Windows PC.

4) Experimental setup: Fig. 10 shows the experimental setup. The transmit power of TSs is kept constant in the experiments. The peak power of data packets is high because of precoding and the linear prediction. The transmit power is limited by the BS equipment and the transmit power of precoded data packets is normalized by the peak of the transmit power. The number of prediction taps is two because of the computational complexity and slow convergence especially with SMI algorithm. The number of iterations for correlation estimation is 500 for SMI algorithm and forgetting factor $\lambda$ is 0.995 for RLS algorithm.

B. Experimental results

In this section, we demonstrate the impact of channel prediction on the BER performance of 2×2 MU-MIMO system. Fig. 11 shows the BER performance of computer simulations. Fig. 12 shows the BER performance of in-lab experiments. Table I shows the major experimental parameters. In computer simulations, we consider Rayleigh fading channels based on the Jakes model. The transmit power of TSs in packet 1 and 2 is kept high and constant between in-lab experiments and computer simulations. In experiments, the transmit power of TSs is $-15$ dBm and the AF gain of the training signal at MSs for the round-trip channel is 55 dB. The channel prediction technique enables the BER performance improvement both in in-lab experiments and computer simulations. With the RLS prediction method, the BER performance is greatly improved in computer simulations. In in-lab experiments, there is no significant difference between the BER performance of RLS prediction and that of SMI prediction. The reason is now under investigation, but the phase noise unique to in-lab experiments is the most possible reason. Also, difficulty in estimating the correlation matrix may be due to the phase noise.

V. CONCLUSION

By using the MU-MIMO experimental system, we evaluated the effects of channel prediction. The in-lab transmission experiments were carried out using a fading emulator. In time-varying channels, channel prediction improves the system performance using both SMI algorithm and RLS algorithm.
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TABLE I
EXPERIMENTAL PARAMETERS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carrier frequency</td>
<td>5.11 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of BS antennas</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of MSs</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame duration</td>
<td>50 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbol rate</td>
<td>312.5k symbols/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modulation</td>
<td>QPSK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>Root roll-off Nyquist ($\alpha = 0.4$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emulated channel model</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Doppler frequency</td>
<td>5 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of prediction taps</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC/DAC resolution</td>
<td>16 bit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPU of embedded PC</td>
<td>Core i7 1.73 GHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downlink channel estimation</td>
<td>Echo-MIMO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interpolation method</td>
<td>Lagrange (second-order)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precoding</td>
<td>Linear (ZF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmit power of TSs</td>
<td>-15 dBm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>Ettus USRP N210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daughterboard</td>
<td>XCVR2450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC/DAC resolution</td>
<td>14/16 bit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>