Data Analytics for Forecasting Cell Congestion on LTE Networks
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Abstract—This paper presents a methodology for forecasting the average downlink throughput for an LTE cell by using real measurement data collected by multiple LTE probes. The approach uses data analytics techniques, namely forecasting algorithms to anticipate cell congestion events which can then be used by Self-Organizing Network (SON) strategies for triggering network re-configurations, such as shifting coverage and capacity to areas where they are most needed, before subscribers have been impacted by dropped calls or reduced data speeds. The presented implementation results show the prediction of network behaviour is possible with a high level of accuracy, effectively allowing SON strategies to be enforced in time.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The overall traffic generated by mobile networks has increased by 75 % in 2015 and the pace of growth continues to accelerate. To address the capacity needed to transport the increasing mobile traffic, telecom operators have been heavily investing in 4G networks, based on Long Term Evolution (LTE) standard and its evolution, LTE-A. This increase in capacity, taking place especially in urban centres, has been achieved thanks to mobile network densification, which implies large investments from the mobile operators to acquire new base stations and other network equipment. Furthermore, as the 4G system will need to coexist with existing networks (2G/3G/Wi-Fi), the result will be a dense, and complex, heterogeneous network topology (HetNet). This situation poses new challenges in the management of the radio access network, which has operating and maintenance costs implications.

To address the requirements of today’s connectivity demands, LTE radio access networks have many features. These however increase the complexity of network planning and maintenance, cell optimisation operations and network troubleshooting. Mobile Network Operators (MNOs) use to require independent benchmarking companies to perform drive tests, to check coverage and capacity of their networks in order to identify problems and improve network performance in specific geographical areas. These drive tests are typically conducted using a vehicle, in a predetermined test route, with a test engineer operating advanced on-board radio equipment to collect network key performance indicators (KPIs).

The high efforts for executing these drive tests result in high costs and therefore in a low frequency of execution. Typically, this kind of measurement is executed no more than 2 or 3 times per year, while changes in the network and the radio environment occur on a much more frequent basis. In comparison to the existing market solutions, the described approach as some clear advantages, as depicted in Table 1.

The methodology proposed in this paper uses historic measurements that have been collected by a set of LTE probes, as the input for forecasting future network behaviour. The measurements were performed daily, automated and with affordable cost. The LTE probes have been developed in the scope of the European H2020 Research Project MONROE - Measuring Mobile Broadband Networks in Europe [1][2][3]. These LTE probes have been deployed in existing transportation fleets however they can also be used in taxis, buses, private cars and trains, without need for dedicated field personnel, effectively reducing the cost of operation by up to 70%.

By using forecasting, this paper also exploits the concept of Self-Organizing Network (SON) strategies. SON has the potential to minimize the lifecycle cost of running a mobile network by eliminating manual configuration of equipment and troubleshooting during operation, which can significantly reduce service cost. MNOs are keen to capitalize on SON to minimize rollout delays and operational expenditures associated with their ongoing LTE deployments [4]. The SON ecosystem is increasingly witnessing convergence with other technological trends such as Machine Learning and Big Data Analytics. Learning and prediction of network behaviour are key enablers towards the implementation of the SON paradigm. SON can use machine learning predictive functionality to adapt mobile networks to demand in a controlled manner (e.g., shifting coverage and capacity to areas with the most need before subscribers have been impacted by dropped calls or reduced data speeds). Therefore, this paper
The MONROE LTE probe software technology is based on Docker containers (Docker Containers) [8]. Docker containers provide an isolated environment to run applications, by wrapping software code in a complete filesystem that contains everything needed to run. The Raspberry Pi is used to send AT control commands to the SIMCom cards for the time being, the Sierra Wireless interface is restricted only for probe management operations. The physical server runs the Raspberry Pi, and the operating system is vanilla Debian. The physical server is the Docker Engine, which is the software that runs Docker containers. The Docker Engine compiles the software code and creates the Docker container that runs on the Raspberry Pi. This concept is illustrated in Figure 1.

To reflect the specific needs of required measurements, the original MONROE LTE probe hardware was updated in order to also support the benchmarking of voice calls (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). This upgraded probe is described simply as an LTE probe henceforward. The hardware setup for this probe is depicted in Figure 2.

The AP1U1d4 is the probe’s system board. It interfaces with 4 LTE wireless network cards (1 Sierra Wireless MC7304 LTE mPCIe and 3 USB SIMCom SIM7100E-EVM) enabling the simultaneous benchmarking of 4 different LTE MNOs. In fact, for the time being, the Sierra Wireless interface is restricted only for probe management operations. The Raspberry Pi is used to send AT control commands to the SIMCom cards for the establishment and termination of voice calls, the playout of audio files and collection of some of the network KPIs. These functionalities can be accessed by the Experiment Container through a web service running on the Raspberry Pi. This concept is depicted in Figure 3.

In the literature, there are some research studies that address a similar topic. In [5] load balancing algorithms are studied and compared to solve localized congestion problems. Methods based on reinforcement Q-learning algorithm are used for forecasts load status for every node and combined with the related concepts of self-organization network. In [6] the authors propose a reactive load balancing algorithm based also in the Q-learning algorithm. In [7] the autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model and exponential smoothing model are used to predict the throughput in a single cell and whole region in a LTE network.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces the MONROE setup; Section III presents the forecast models whereas the case study and experimental results are presented in Section IV. Finally, the conclusions are given in Section V.

### II. MONROE SETUP

The MONROE LTE probe software technology is based on Docker containers (Docker Containers) [8]. Docker containers provide an isolated environment to run applications, by wrapping software code in a complete filesystem that contains everything needed to run. This guarantees the software will run the same, regardless of its environment. Docker containers share the underlying resources of the Docker host but they only include what they need to run their applications. By using Docker, multiple experiments can be scheduled to run at the LTE probe. This concept is illustrated in Figure 1. To reflect the specific needs of required measurements, the original MONROE LTE probe hardware was updated in order to also support the benchmarking of voice calls (see Figure 2 and Figure 3). This upgraded probe is described simply as an LTE probe henceforward. The hardware setup for this probe is depicted in Figure 2.

The AP1U1d4 is the probe’s system board. It interfaces with 4 LTE wireless network cards (1 Sierra Wireless MC7304 LTE mPCIe and 3 USB SIMCom SIM7100E-EVM) enabling the simultaneous benchmarking of 4 different LTE MNOs. In fact, for the time being, the Sierra Wireless interface is restricted only for probe management operations. The Raspberry Pi is used to send AT control commands to the SIMCom cards for the establishment and termination of voice calls, the playout of audio files and collection of some of the network KPIs. These functionalities can be accessed by the Experiment Container through a web service running on the Raspberry Pi. This concept is depicted in Figure 3.

### Table 1 – proposed solution vs existing solutions for MNO benchmarking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key market solutions</th>
<th>Summary of the main characteristics</th>
<th>Our proposed solution added value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>InfoVista/TEMS, previously known as ASCOM (<a href="http://www.infovista.com">www.infovista.com</a>)</td>
<td>Reference solution in mobile network benchmarking (voice+data). Is based on a mobile application that runs on smartphones (depends on user permissions to use GPS). It provides the main benchmarking KPIs.</td>
<td>Improved location – due to a dedicated GPS, our solution can improve up to 50% the location precision, when compared to triangulation performed by MNOs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keysight Technologies, previously known as Anite/NEMO (<a href="http://www.keysight.com">www.keysight.com</a>)</td>
<td>Reference solution in mobile network benchmarking (voice+data). It uses advanced specialized measurement equipment that needs a professional technician to operate the equipment. It also enables importing measurements performed by smartphones though a dedicated application.</td>
<td>Improved location – capability of measuring a wider diversity of network parameters, which could also be of interest to MNOs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CellMining (<a href="http://cellmining.com">cellmining.com</a>)</td>
<td>Solution that does not use real measurement equipment. The approach is based on the concept of ‘virtual probes’, which in fact are algorithms that analyze and correlate information based on call-detail records (CDRs).</td>
<td>Lower cost of implementation – the proposed network benchmarking probes are autonomous (also remotely accessible) and do not need dedicated/specialized field personnel or driver (in case of a mobile probe).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MedUX (<a href="http://www.medux.com">www.medux.com</a>)</td>
<td>Recent player, still improving their solution (fixed and mobile probes) for mobile network benchmarking (data only). It also uses network information obtained from crowdsourcing sites.</td>
<td>Voice benchmark – capability to measure voice KPIs (narrowband, wideband/VoLTE and super-wideband)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the literature, there are some research studies that address a similar topic. In [5] load balancing algorithms are studied and compared to solve localized congestion problems. Methods based on reinforcement Q-learning algorithm are used for forecasts load status for every node and combined with the related concepts of self-organization network. In [6] the authors propose a reactive load balancing algorithm based also in the Q-learning algorithm. In [7] the autoregressive integrated moving average (ARIMA) model and exponential smoothing model are used to predict the throughput in a single cell and whole region in a LTE network.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows: Section II introduces the MONROE setup; Section III presents the forecast models whereas the case study and experimental results are presented in Section IV. Finally, the conclusions are given in Section V.

### Figure 1: MONROE LTE probe software technology
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### Figure 2: The LTE probe
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With this setup, the LTE probe provides the information depicted in Table 2. The data connection properties are obtained by subscribing metadata feeds provided by the MONROE base container, whilst the data specific measurements are obtained through dedicated Experiment Containers. Experiments are submitted through a scheduler that allows the user to specify where the Experiment Container is located, in which probe will the experiment be executed and which interfaces (each corresponding to a different MNO) are to be used. Experiments can also be scheduled for the first available slot or for a specific date. In any case, recursive options are available. Once the experiment has started, the data are collected by the LTE probe and stored on the probe’s local storage until the experiment is concluded, only then the data are uploaded to the MONROE repository (a Cassandra database). Alternatively, during the experiment, data can also be sent in real-time to external servers for immediate processing however this approach consumes more traffic quota. Considering Cassandra is not a relational database, our approach performs daily synchronizations between the MONROE repository and its own MySQL database. This is where data is fetched for various purposes, including learning the network behaviour for specific areas and predict eventual capacity issues in an automated fashion as explained in the next section. This lifecycle of an experiment is depicted in Figure 4.
The conversion of the raw data in a meaningful and easy to understand manner is performed by the *allbesmart LTE Benchmark Tool*, which also acts as a dashboard. It is capable of overlaying data related to RSSI, CID, upload and download throughput, amongst others, with the LTE probe’s geolocated position. It also provides animations that show how the different network KPIs behave throughout a day, or during specific time periods. Figure 5 depicts the dashboard layout reflecting the RSSI measurements taken by a mobile LTE probe in Madrid, Spain, in a specific time period, whilst Figure 6 provides the details for the download throughput variation for a particular fixed LTE probe.

![Download Speed](image)

**Figure 6:** Sample of the average download speed variation as measured by a fixed MONROE LTE probe in a time period of 3 days.

### III. FORECAST MODELS

Machine learning is a kind of artificial intelligence that provides systems with the ability to learn without being explicitly programmed. Machine learning focuses on developing computer programs that can change when exposed to new data. The algorithms use data to detect patterns and adjust program actions accordingly. Typically, these algorithms can be categorized as being supervised, unsupervised or reinforcement learning. Supervised algorithms can apply what has been learned to new data. Unsupervised algorithms can draw inferences from datasets. In reinforcement learning the algorithm learns a policy of how to act given an observation of the world. Every action has some impact on the environment, and the environment provides feedback that guides the learning algorithm.

In our proposed approach, the Machine Learning Engine uses the measurements collected by the LTE probes and stored in a local relational database to learn the event pattern events and forecast its future behaviour. The predicted KPI values are then forwarded to a Self-Optimization Process that uses these values to take timely preventive actions. In this analysis, the network is seen as a dynamic system, and to forecast its behaviour we need to use past output measurements. In other words, given observations \( y(t) = (y(1), ..., y(n)) \) of the output of the network, forecasting is the prediction of the outputs \( y(n+1), ..., y(n+h) \) until a future time horizon \( h \).

In order to apply forecast, a model that fits past measured data from the network needs first to be identified. This can be a linear time series model, state-space models, or a nonlinear model. In the literature, there are numerous time-series and regression forecasting methods [5][6] that can be used. This paper focuses on two well-known algorithms, the naïve persistence model and a derivation of Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average model (ARIMA) [13].

#### A. Method 1

The first method is based on a linear time series model that includes a regression component, a variant of ARIMA model, named ARIMAX model (Autoregressive Integrated Moving Average with Explanatory Variable [9]). This model also considers a seasonality effect to estimate the new model coefficients for forecasting the future outputs.

A linear time series model for response process \( y_t \) and innovations \( \varepsilon_t \) is a stochastics process that has the form of equation 1.

\[
y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \cdots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t + \theta_1 \varepsilon_{t-1} + \cdots + \theta_q \varepsilon_{t-q} \tag{1}
\]

The model expresses the conditional mean of \( y_t \) as a function of both past observations, \( y_{t-1}, ..., y_{t-p} \) and past innovations, \( \varepsilon_{t-1}, ..., \varepsilon_{t-q} \). Where \( p \) is a positive integer that indicates the degree of the nonseasonal autoregressive polynomial and \( q \) a positive integer that indicates the degree of the nonseasonal moving average polynomial.

A maximum likelihood function is used to estimate the parameters of the ARIMAX model given the observed univariate time series \( y_t \). Given its history, the innovations are conditionally independent. Let \( H_t \) denote the history of the process available at time \( t \), where \( t=1, ..., T \). The likelihood function of the innovations is obtained from equation 2.

\[
f(\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_T | H_{T-1}) = \prod_{t=1}^{T} f(\varepsilon_t | H_{t-1}) \tag{2}
\]

where \( f \) is the standard Gaussian or \( t \) probability density function.

#### B. Method 2

This method uses the Naïve model [6] which is a forecasting method that uses the last observation (time step \( t \)) to predict the expected outcome at the next time step \( (t+1) \). The naïve approach can be used with a stable series, with seasonal variations, or with trend. With a stable series, the last data point becomes the forecast for the next period. As example, if the throughput in the last hour was 25Mbps, the forecast for this hour is 25Mbps.

This method is used as a supervised machine learning algorithm to identify trends, seasonality and forecast the estimated future values. The historical univariate data (throughput as a function of time) is transformed in a supervised learning problem with inputs and outputs in the way that the throughput of instance \( t \) is the output of instance \( (t+1) \). Typically, for stable time series data,

\[
\hat{y}_t = y_{t-1} \tag{3}
\]
considering the seasonal variations,
\[
\hat{y}_t = y_{t-n} \tag{4}
\]
where \(n\) is the cycle last \(n\) periods.

For data with trends,
\[
\hat{y}_t = y_{t-1} + (y_{t-1} - y_{t-n}) \tag{5}
\]
, if there are constant trends between \(y_{t-1}\) and \(y_{t-n}\).

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The goal is to forecast one entire week of the cell average downlink (DL) throughput, with a resolution of one hour. The input data for our model was obtained from a fixed LTE probe deployed in the city of Lisbon (Portugal) in a dense urban area connected to a LTE (4G) mobile network operator. Three weeks of historical collected measurements have been used for training the prediction models and one week was used to compare the observed throughput with the forecast values, as illustrated in Figure 7.

For method 1, the comparison between the forecast values and the actual DL throughput is highlighted in Figure 8. We can observe the confidence interval (uncertainty) increases as the time goes on.

The ARIMAX model proved to give a very good estimation during the first 20 hours, actually enabling us to predict cell congestion events. A Cell congestion event was considered when we measured a drop of at least 75% on the average download speed per user (considering an average of 20Mbps per user during off-peak hours).

The prediction capability is very relevant for a SON implementation - if the self-optimization process estimates that a change in the mobile network configuration will compensate possible trade-offs (e.g., interruption in service) it may schedule an optimization process that performs changes in the cell’s parameters (such as the activation of additional LTE carriers) to compensate a forecasted cell outage event [10].

Figure 9 depicts the forecasting results obtained from method 2 in comparison with the real (measured) values of DL throughput.

Figure 10 presents the comparison between the two forecast models.

The Mean Squared Error (MSE) computed for the 2 methods, presented in Table 2, shows that method 2 is more accurate than method 1, for this dataset. Although the Naïve persistence model is quite simple, usually it is quite efficient and assertive in the time series forecasting.
Figure 10: Comparison between the two forecast models

Table 3: Forecasting errors (MSE) expressed in Mbps

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>MSE [Mbps]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Method 1: ARIMAX model</td>
<td>7.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Method 2: Naïve persistence model</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. CONCLUSIONS

This work describes a data analytics methodology and modelling capable of forecasting the average downlink throughput of an LTE base station by using two forecast models, the ARIMAX and the Naïve persistence models. The obtained results have shown that both models are able to forecast the network behaviour with high accuracy. We are able to estimate a cell congestion event up to 30 hours in advance which provides SON strategies, enough time to react (e.g., by shifting coverage and capacity to areas in need, before subscribers have been impacted by dropped calls or reduced data speeds). As future work it is suggested the comparison with other forecasting models, probabilistic and fuzzy.
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