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Abstract — In this paper we address the problem of Data Centers energy efficiency by proposing a methodology which aims at planning the Data Center operation such that the usage of locally produced renewable energy is maximized. We defined a flexibility mechanism and model for Data Center’s components (electrical cooling system, IT workload, energy storage and diesel generators) leveraging on optimization actions such as load time shifting, alternative usage of non-electrical cooling devices such as the thermal storage or charging/discharging the electrical storage devices, etc. The flexibility mechanism enacts the possibility of shifting the Data Center’s energy demand profile from time intervals with limited renewable energy production due to weather conditions, to time intervals when spikes of renewable energy production are predicted. We have developed a simulation environment which allows the methodology to be in-lab tested and evaluated. Results are promising showing an increase of renewable energy usage of 12% due to energy consumption demand shift for following the renewable energy production levels.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The growing energy demand of Data Centers (DCs) puts pressure on their operators to take steps towards reducing DCs energy consumption while improving their energy efficiency. This is to cater for both the associated increasing operational costs and the detrimental environmental impact in terms of high CO₂ emissions. To cope with such a great challenge, large DCs owning organizations have been recently taking various initiatives to operate their cloud-scale DCs with renewable energy which is produced locally [1].

The main issue of using renewable energy for powering the DC is reliability, in terms of fluctuations of the amount of generated energy levels due to the fact that most of the time this amount depends on weather conditions. For example, the wind turbines need wind blowing to turn the blades, while the solar panels need clear sky and sunshine to produce energy. Most of the time the curves of renewable energy generation are characterized by short or long time peaks due to the changing weather conditions.

In this paper we address this issue by proposing a DC optimization methodology that aims at planning the DC operation (and in consequence the DC energy consumption) to match the estimated renewable energy production levels (collocated generation). The methodology is based on a DC components energy consumption flexibility mechanism and model that allow adapting the DC’s energy demand profile by shifting the DC energy demand from time intervals with limited Renewable Energy Sources (RES) energy production to time intervals with spikes of renewable energy production. The methodology is based on optimization actions such as load time shifting, alternative usage of non-electrical cooling devices such as the thermal storage or charging/discharging the electrical storage devices, etc. As a result the amount of renewable energy produced locally used to operate the DC is maximized. As a result of using our methodology and model the DCs will not only increase their energy efficiency but will reduce their operational costs and minimize their carbon footprint as well.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section II shows related work, Section III presents the energy flexibility mechanisms defined for the DC components, Section IV describes the proposed optimization methodology, Section V presents results obtained in a simulated environment, while Section VI concludes the paper.

II. RELATED WORK

Few relevant approaches can be found in the state-of-the-art literature addressing the issue of optimal usage of renewable energy to power the DC. They only partially address the energy consumption flexibility potential of the DCs.

In [2] the authors present Parasol, a green DC prototype consisting out of a small building, two racks with servers, a set of solar photovoltaic panels, grid tie and batteries. Parasol uses two air-based cooling systems: outside air, if it is cold enough, and air-conditioning, otherwise. They define a scheduler for planning the workload execution and for selecting the energy source to use at a time: solar panels, batteries or grid. The scheduler takes decisions based on workload and energy predictions, battery level, workload analytical models, DC characteristics and grid energy prices.

A less complex experimental platform is presented in [3]. The authors describe ReinDB (Renewable Energy Integrated Database), a system dedicated to minimize the energy consumption on a database server powered up with both brown and green (renewable) sources. DCs with renewable energy
often use Supply Driven Execution to schedule their workloads. The main idea is to match power consumption of the workload with the green energy production.

The focus of [4] is the reduction of energy costs and environmental impact by predicting energy production and workload and computing both a scheduling plan for workload and a resource allocation plan that minimizes IT equipment and cooling systems energy needs. There are two key components of the proposed solution. The first one is demand shifting that allocates delay-tolerant workload such that the IT resources and cooling systems work at optimum capacity. The second one is a model of the costs within a DC that is used to formulate a constraint optimization problem solved by the workload planner in order to determine the best demand shifting solution.

The authors of [5] aim at maximizing the renewable energy consumption while minimizing the cost of using renewable energy by relocating services (workload) between various DCs. The best DC location of each service is computed using Genetic Algorithms. The placement is based on two main factors: the level of renewable energy at each DC and the cooling conditions at each DC site.

Due to its intermittency, renewable energy and especially wind power is very difficult to predict. DCs that rely on these sources are shown to have performance loss due to inefficient and redundant load matching activities. iSwitch is a system described in [6] that applies supply/load cooperative scheme to mitigate the performance overhead. Furthermore, the system offers autonomic load power balancing and switching between the conventional utility grid and green energy sources.

In [7] a system called GreenWare proposed for answering two questions often raised by DC operators: how to maximize the renewable energy by distributing services at various locations and how to achieve this relocation without exceeding their budget. The proposed solution is a novel middleware system that brings several contributions. First, it dynamically dispatches service request among various DCs in different geographical locations based on energy levels and monthly budgets. Furthermore, GreenWare defines an explicit model of renewable energy generation, such as wind turbines and solar panel, being able to predict energy levels.

As opposed to the presented state of the art work, our approach paves the way for next generation energy sustainable DCs which are able to optimize their overall energy consumption and production, from renewable energy sources in particular, within the framework of a uniform representation of energy (electrical, thermal, geothermal or solar). Besides consolidating load to improve energy efficiency of a DC, the optimization techniques proposed in this paper are focused on offering flexibility by dynamically using load time shifting, alternative non electrical cooling devices such as the thermal storage as well as electrical storage devices. As a result the DC energy demand profile is shifted and adapted to match the predicted RES energy production curve. The approach is generic and may help the DCs to consider the maximization of the usage of locally generated renewable energy source (no renewable co-location, but district-scale RES generation), thus being driven by real time energy convenient tariffs for injecting energy in the grid when there is a surplus available (energy services, like load leveling, peak shaving).

III. DATA CENTER ENERGY FLEXIBILITY MODEL

We have defined a flexibility mechanism and model that cover the following DC’s energy consumption components: electrical cooling system, IT workload, energy storage and diesel generators

A. Electrical Cooling System Flexibility

One of the main energy consumption components in a DC is the electrical cooling systems. Studies have shown [8] that the energy taken by the electrical cooling of the DC may reach up to 50% of the total energy consumption. Thus the cooling system has a great potential of providing energy flexibility.

In our approach we will exploit the energy flexibility potential of electrical cooling component by dynamically leveraging on non-electrical cooling mechanisms available at DC sites to cool down the DC for certain periods of time. As a result, the electrical cooling systems are expected to be used at smaller capacity. The Thermal Energy Storage (TES) is such a system which relies on storing energy as ice storage or chilled water and is used to overcool the DC without using electricity.

To decide on dynamically using a non-electrical cooling mechanism (such as TES) for gaining flexible energy two main challenges need to be addressed.

\( a) \) The first challenge is to estimate the amount of energy discharged from the TES \( (D_{\text{TES usage}}(t)) \) during operation time and the amount of energy charged in TES \( (R_{\text{TES usage}}(t)) \) when the DC has energy surplus.

We have addressed this issue by defining and using a set of constrains which take into account the TES characteristics of maximum energy storage capacity \( (\text{MAX}_{\text{TES}}) \), the TES maximum energy charging and discharging capacity \( (\text{MAX}_{\text{charge}} \text{ and } \text{MAX}_{\text{discharge}}) \), charge loss factor during operation \( (\rho_{\text{TES}}) \), discharge loss factor during operation \( (\delta_{\text{TES}}) \), and time discharge factor when it is not operated \( (\phi_{\text{TES}}) \). The constraints are used in the decision process to define and validate specific energy charging and discharging actions against the TES characteristics.

We define the following constraints which must hold for every moment of time \( t \) (equations are adapted from [9]):

\[ (i) \quad \text{The action of discharging the energy from the TES is valid only if the amount of energy considered to be discharged } (D_{\text{TES usage}}(t)) \text{ is less than the actual level of energy stored } (\text{TES level}(t)): \]

\[ 0 \leq (1 + \delta_{\text{TES}}) \ast D_{\text{TES usage}}(t) \leq \text{TES level}(t) \tag{1} \]

\[ (ii) \quad \text{The action of discharging the energy from the TES is valid only if the amount of energy considered to be discharged is less than the device maximum discharging capacity } (\text{MAX}_{\text{discharge}}): \]

\[ (1 + \delta_{\text{TES}}) \ast D_{\text{TES usage}}(t) \leq \text{MAX}_{\text{discharge}} \tag{2} \]

\[ (iii) \quad \text{The action of charging the TES is valid only if the amount of energy considered to be charged} \]
(R_{\text{TES usage}}(t)) is less than difference between maximum energy storage capacity and the actual level of energy stored:

\[ 0 \leq (1 - \rho_{\text{TES}}) \cdot R_{\text{TES usage}}(t) \leq \text{MAX}_{\text{TES usage}} - \text{TES level}(t) \quad (3) \]

(iv) The amount of energy stored in the TES cannot exceed the storage maximum energy capacity:

\[ 0 \leq \text{TES level}(t) \leq \text{MAX}_{\text{TES}} \quad (4) \]

(v) The actions of charging and discharging energy from the same TES cannot be valid at the same time:

\[ D_{\text{TES usage}}(t) \cdot R_{\text{TES usage}}(t) = 0 \quad (5) \]

(vi) The action of charging the energy storage device is valid only if the amount of energy considered to be charged is less than the device maximum energy charging capacity:

\[ (1 - \rho_{\text{TES}}) \cdot R_{\text{TES usage}}(t) \leq \text{MAX}_{\text{charge}} \quad (6) \]

For each valid action of charging and discharging which may be executed at moment \( t \) the actual level of energy stored by the TES at moment \( t+1 \) is estimated using the following relation:

\[ \text{TES level}(t + 1) = \varphi_{\text{TES}}(\text{TES level}(t)) + (1 - \rho_{\text{TES}}) \cdot R_{\text{TES usage}}(t) - (1 + \delta_{\text{TES}}) \cdot D_{\text{TES usage}}(t) \quad (7) \]

The flexibility mechanism defined above works as follows: (i) the amount of energy discharged from the TES at moment \( t \) is compensated with an equal amount of energy saved by lowering the intensity of the electrical cooling system and (ii) the amount of energy charged in the thermal at moment \( t \) is compensated with an equal amount of energy consumed by the DC by increasing the intensity of the electrical cooling system to overcool the TES tanks.

b) The second challenge is to estimate the level to which the cooling system can be lowered due to the fact that its operation is compensated by TES discharging. To address this issue we first need to estimate how much power is used by the cooling system. We use [10] assumption that all the electrical power consumed by the DC IT components to execute the workload is transformed into heat and must be dissipated by the cooling system (adapted from [10]).

\[ E_{\text{workload}} = \text{Heat removed} \quad (8) \]

To estimate the actual cooling power needed to deal with the dissipated heat, the formula provided by [9] is used and enhanced with the TES flexibility mechanism described above where COP is the cooling system Coefficient of Performance:

\[ E_{\text{cooling}}(t) = \frac{\text{Heat removed}}{\text{COP}} - D_{\text{TES usage}}(t) + R_{\text{TES usage}}(t) \quad (9) \]

**B. IT Workload Flexibility**

We categorize the incoming workload into “real-time” and “delay-tolerant” workload. The real-time workload must be executed as soon as it arrives, while the delay-tolerant workload which arrives at timeslot \( t \) can be executed in the future but no later than a given deadline \( T_{\text{delay}}(t) \).

We take advantage of the high level of energy flexibility offered by the delay-tolerant workload by time-shifting its execution. As a consequence, the energy demand of the IT computing components executing the workload can be delayed or planned to meet various optimization objectives.

To decide on the optimal timeslot and amount of workload to be shifted we define a method for estimating the energy consumption of the DC IT computing resources for a specific workload configuration.

We define a workload scheduling matrix \( S = (s_{ij})_{TXT} \) where its element \( s_{ij} \) represents the percentage of the delay-tolerant workload, with \( i \) representing the arrival timeslot, \( j \) the execution timeslot and \( T \) the maximum dimension of the time window (e.g. 24h) (see relation 10).

\[ S = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & \cdots & s_{1T} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & s_{TT} \end{pmatrix} \quad (10) \]

Due to the fact that the execution of a delay-tolerant workload cannot be scheduled before its arrival timeslot, the scheduling matrix is an upper triangular one having zero values under its main diagonal.

A matrix row \( i \) represents all the workload received at timeslot \( i \) and divided in percentages associated to the delayed execution timeslots until its deadline \( T_{\text{delay}}(i) \). In consequence, the sum on each matrix row is 1.

\[ \sum_{j=i}^{T_{\text{delay}}(i)} s_{ij} = 1 \quad (11) \]

A matrix column \( j \) represents all the workload scheduled for execution at timeslot \( j \). By summing all the workload elements of a column and translating them in energy values we obtain the estimated amount of energy needed to execute the delay-tolerant workload at timeslot \( j \):

\[ E_{\text{w scheduled}}(j) = \sum_{i=1}^{T_{\text{delay}}(j)} E(s_{ij}) \quad (12) \]

If we consider the energy consumed by the IT computing resources for executing the real-time workload arrived at timeslot \( t \) as \( E_{\text{real-time}}(t) \) then the total energy consumption for executing all the workload is:

\[ E_{\text{workload}}(t) = E_{\text{w real-time}}(t) + E_{\text{w scheduled}}(t) \quad (13) \]

The flexibility mechanism defined above works as follows: (i) the energy consumption demand is reduced at timeslot \( t \) with the amount of energy needed to execute the delay-tolerant load that is shifted at timeslot \( v \) and (ii) energy consumption demand at timeslot \( v \) is increased with the amount of energy needed to execute the delay-tolerant load shifted from timeslot \( t \). This flexibility mechanism will allow the DC to shift the energy of the delay tolerant workload from the moments of time when for example there is a lack of renewable energy production to the moments of time when a spike of renewable energy production is predicted.
C. Energy Storage Flexibility

Electrical Storage Devices (ESDs) may store electrical energy to cover the energy consumption needs of the DC for a short period of time. Even though their primary usage is to fail safe on power outages, by charging and discharging batteries, they can offer a certain level of flexibility to DCs.

Being similar with the TES, the main issue to be addressed when deciding on whether to use the energy storage devices to provide flexible energy is to estimate (i) the amount of energy that is discharged from the devices when they are used to power the DC \( D_{ESD\text{ usage}}(t) \) and (ii) the amount of energy that is charged in the devices from the DC surplus energy \( R_{ESD\text{ usage}}(t) \).

To estimate these energy levels we have adapted and used the electrical storage device model described in [9] and [11]. To define the normal operation of the electrical storage device, constraints on the following device characteristics are used: (i) energy losses incurred during both charge and discharge cycles and also during the time the device is not used (we denote the charge loss, discharge loss and time discharge factors by \( \rho_{ESD} \), \( \delta_{ESD} \) and \( \varphi_{ESD} \), respectively), (ii) device maximum energy storage capacity \( MAX_{ESD} \), (iii) device maximum energy charging and discharging capacity \( MAX_{\text{charge}} \) and \( MAX_{\text{discharge}} \) and (iv) the percentage of maximum energy removal during a discharge, Depth of Discharge (DoD).

The constraints are used in the decision process to define and validate specific energy charging and discharging actions against the energy storage device characteristics. They are defined in a similar manner as for the TES (see relations 1-7). The only constraint that changes in the energy storage case is expressed by the relation 4 that now expresses the following constraint “The action of discharging the energy storage device cannot decrease the amount of stored energy below the defined Depth of Discharge limit”:

\[
DoD \times MAX_{ESD} \leq ESD_{\text{level}}(t) \leq MAX_{ESD} \tag{14}
\]

The flexibility mechanism defined for an energy storage device works as follows: (i) the amount of energy discharged from the device at timeslot \( t \) is compensated with an equal amount of energy saved by not using renewable energy produced locally or taken from the grid and (ii) the amount of energy charged in the device at moment \( t \) is compensated with an equal amount of renewable energy consumed by the DC.

D. Diesel Generators Flexibility

In addition to the power supplied by the grid or by local renewable energy sources, DCs use diesel generators that can produce enough energy to cover the electricity demand in case of an emergency. Due to the time inertia of diesel generator operation, until their full operational status, the DC is powered by the UPS units.

The diesel generators need periodic maintenance and during this process they may also produce a certain amount of energy which can be used. We denote the maximum power supplied by the generators with \( MAX_{GEN} \) and we consider that during maintenance they are running at a certain percentage of the maximum capacity.

We will exploit this potential flexibility by planning the periodic maintenance in moments of renewable energy production deficits.

IV. RENEWABLE ENERGY USAGE OPTIMIZATION METHODOLOGY

We have defined an optimization methodology which exploits the energy consumption flexibility at the DC level to shift the energy demand so that the renewable energy produced locally is used as much as possible in the DC operation. In other words, the methodology aims to plan the DC operation by exploiting various flexibility mechanisms presented in section III so that the DC energy consumption curve will match or follow the renewable energy production curve.

For example let us consider the DC operation situation presented in Figure 1. The solid line curve represents the predicted amount of renewable energy over a time window, while the dashed line curve represents the predicted amount of DC energy consumption over the same time window. This situation is inefficient from two reasons: (i) during time interval \([t1, t2]\), high levels of energy consumption are predicted which cannot be covered by using the estimated amounts of locally produced renewable energy while (ii) during time interval \([t2, t3]\), a surplus of renewable energy production is predicted which cannot be used to operate the DC since the predicted energy consumption levels are small for that time interval.

To optimize the above presented situation, our methodology will first determine the amount of flexible energy available in the DC during time interval \([t1, t2]\) using the flexibility mechanisms already defined. Then it will optimize the DC operation so that the hashed amount of energy (the demanded extra energy) in the interval \([t1, t2]\) will be delayed through flexible energy shifting mechanisms to be consumed in the time interval \([t2, t3]\).

Figure 2 presents a possible situation of the optimized DC operation. It can be seen that the energy demand is shifted and now the DC energy consumption curve (dashed line) follows the renewable energy production curve (solid line). In other words, we have planned a DC energy consumption curve to match the predicted renewable energy production peak.
The algorithm from Figure 3 presents the pseudo-code description of the optimization methodology. The algorithm has as inputs the forecast renewable energy production and DC energy demand profile over a fixed time window. The output will be flexible energy consumption adjustments over the time window, so that in the end the actual DC energy consumption curve will follow the renewable energy production curve.

The DC energy consumption is estimated as the sum of the energy consumption of IT components that execute the DC workload, the energy consumed by the cooling system and the energy used to charge the DC electrical storage devices:

\[ E_{DC_{consumption}}(t) = E_{workload}(t) + E_{cooling}(t) + R_{ESD_{usage}}(t) \]  

The DC energy production is estimated as a sum of the amounts of energy produced from renewable sources and the energy discharged from energy storage devices and used to power up the DC.

\[ E_{DC_{production}}(t) = E_{renewable}(t) + D_{ESD_{usage}}(t) \]

Finally, the algorithm determines the optimal flexible energy amount generated by combining the available flexible energies produced by the appropriate DC elements such that the difference between the estimated energy consumption and production curves is minimized (line 20).

The methodology has three different loops with different time granularities (see Figure 4):

- **Day-ahead operation planning** decides on the energy flexibility optimization actions for the next operational day. During the next operational day, the execution of the decided optimization action plan is tracked as well as the degree of prediction accuracy using different granularities: intra-day (few hours ahead) and near real-time (few minutes ahead). Due to the inherent inaccuracy of the predicted data, errors may appear and as such corrective actions must be considered. It should be noted that the closer we get to the real time, the cost of implementing the corrective actions becomes higher.

- **Intra-day DC operation planning** refines, adjusts and improves the plan of actions determined in the previous day by using a finer prediction on a smaller time window, in this case few hours ahead. It is capable of modifying the parameters and the optimization actions already scheduled by the day ahead DC operation planning algorithm to further exploit the DC energy flexibility mechanism by using better predicted data.

- **Near real-time DC operation planning** allows further correcting the scheduled energy consumption optimization actions to the real-time execution conditions with a reaction time of few minutes.

The algorithm starts by evaluating in parallel the amount of flexible energy available in the DC at each moment of the analyzed timeframe. We use the flexibility mechanisms and valid optimization actions for the DC components that provide flexibility and are defined in Section III (lines 5-8). At each step the flexible energy is shifted in different combinations and for each combination the estimated DC energy consumption and production values are calculated (lines 11-12).
The process of forecasting the DC energy production and consumption levels is out of the scope of this paper. Briefly, the forecast values are determined using the time series prediction technique on historical data defined by us in [12]. The amount of energy consumed and produced by the DC is being continuously monitored; the actual values and their timestamps are being collected and stored at regular sampling periods generating two different time series. The time series are then analyzed for identifying frequent energy consumption or generation patterns. A sliding window based method is employed to identify whether the actual energy consumption or generation features match an already determined frequent energy consumption pattern. For accurately predicting DC’s renewable energy production, weather data is considered. The energy production patterns are associated with the weather conditions from the timeframe when the observation was made.

V. USE CASE EVALUATION

To evaluate the proposed technique and mechanism we have developed a simulation environment in which we have simulated the operation of a DC having installed the components from Table 1. The DC renewable energy is produced by means of wind turbines.

**TABLE I. MODELED DC COMPONENTS CHARACTERISTICS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrical cooling</td>
<td>Cooling Capacity = 4000 kWh, Minimum Cooling Load = 200 kWh, Maximum Cooling Load = 2000 kWh, COP Coefficient = 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT Computing</td>
<td>P_MAX = 325 W, Memory, Processor, Hard Drive = RAM 8 GB, CPU 2.4 GHz, HDD 1Tb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage System</td>
<td>Charge Loss Rate = 1.2, Discharge Loss Rate = 0.8, Energy Loss Rate = 0.995, Max Charge &amp; Discharge Rate = 1000 kWh, Max Capacity = 1000 kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Energy</td>
<td>Charge Loss Rate = 1.1, Discharge Loss Rate = 0.99, Energy Loss Rate = 0.999, Max Charge &amp; Discharge Rate = 1000 kWh, Maximum Capacity = 3000 kWh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage System</td>
<td>Wind Turbines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Max Capacity = 1000 kW, Air Density = 1.23, Blade length = 40 m, Power Coefficient = 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel Gen.</td>
<td>Max Capacity = 3000 kWh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The DC operation simulation data is generated at fixed time intervals using the data sources presented in Table 2.

**TABLE II. SIMULATION DATA SOURCES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Workload Energy</td>
<td>IT power consumption logs of real DC [13], normalized considering the maximum amount of power consumption of modeled DC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption</td>
<td>Weather Data [14] Wind speed from weather forecast services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooling Energy</td>
<td>Percentage of workload energy consumption [15] considering Cooling COP value 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumption</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The DC energy consumption profile managed to follow the collocated renewable energy production curve. Also, by looking at Figure 6 one can notice that after optimization the DC energy demand profile manages to follow the collocated renewable energy production curve.

![Fig. 5. DC energy demand and RES production profiles without optimization](image)

![Fig. 6. Optimization plan and impact on DC’s components energy demand](image)

During the renewable energy spike the energy surplus is saved by charging the TES. This will allow decreasing the DC high energy consumption from hours 11 to 18 by discharging the TES and using at lower intensity the electrical cooling. Also the delay tolerable workload from hours 11 to 18 is executed as much as possible to the time interval 18 to 23. Also, by looking at Figure 6 one can notice that after optimization the DC energy demand profile manages to follow the collocated renewable energy production curve.
The intra-day and near real-time optimization process will follow the above plan execution and decides if needed (i.e. miss-prediction of renewable energy spikes) new actions to correct and improve the DC operation using smaller time scale and more accurate predictions. Figure 7 presents the improved energy budget resulted after executing corrective actions decided by intra-day optimization for first 4 operational hours.

Figure 7. Optimization action plan an associated energy impact

Figure 8 presents the evolution of DC energy consumption in near real-time fashion with our optimization technique activated and without for the first 2 simulation hours (between simulation hours 0 and 2).

One can notice that without the optimization activated the energy consumption demand is higher than the renewable energy production and brown energy is consumed from the grid to compensate (see “Energy Sources Without Optimization” – blue color represents the energy contracted from the grid). On contrary when optimization methodology is used the energy demand in this two hours is shifted in such a way that only the renewable energy is used.

For the next operational hours (between simulation hours 2 and 4) without optimization the DC will lose the surplus of renewable energy produced (see Figure 9 – “Energy Sources Without Optimization” – red color which represents the unused renewable energy). At the same time with optimization the energy demand is shifted in such a manner so that the renewable energy surplus is all consumed.

After running the simulation on the presented scenario for 24 hours the amount of renewable energy used for DC operation in the optimization case has increased of 12% percent. This translates in an increase of renewable energy amount used of 5400 kWh for 24 hours. The total power consumption of the DC simulated is about 45000 kWh.

To assess the positive environmental impact of our methodology we have used the UK Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs study that states that by producing 1 kWh of electrical energy, results in average 0.527 kg of CO₂ (considering the UK energy mix) which is released in the atmosphere [17]. Considering our optimization
methodology running for 24 hours and the increased values of renewable energy used in detriment of the brown energy we have estimated the total CO₂ emissions saving of about 2845 kg of CO₂.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have presented a methodology for shifting the DC energy demand profile from an original baseline pattern to match the collocated renewable energy supply levels. The methodology is based on a defined flexibility mechanism for components such as electrical cooling system, IT workload, energy storage and diesel generators. As a result an increased amount of locally produced renewable energy is used in DC operation at the moment of its production. The in-lab results obtained using simulations are promising showing that our methodology manages to increase to usage of renewable energy with about 12%.

As future work we plan to use the defined methodology in the pilot DCs of the EU FP7 GEYSER project [16]. Also we plan to improve our methodology to take into account (beside the renewable energy levels) new criteria such as the energy price, the smart grid context or the demand for ancillary services.
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